



IFW

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of:**

Ariel PELED et al

Serial No.: 10/003,269

**Filed: December 6, 2001**

**For: A SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR  
MONITORING UNAUTHORIZED  
TRANSPORT OF DIGITAL CONTENT**

**Examiner:** Brown, Christopher J.

~~~~~

Group Art Unit: 2134

Attorney  
Docket: 01/22067

**Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

**AMENDMENT**

**Sir:**

This is in response to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Advisory Action dated March 5, 2007.

The Examiner held that the Amendment filed on 12 February 2007 was not entered because they raise new issues requiring further search and consideration, and since the response was made after Final Rejection, it would not be entered.

The Examiner is correct that the claims were substantially amended and would require further consideration and/or search.

The Examiner is in error in identifying the Office Action mailed on August 10, 2006 as a Final Rejection.